

- 1. Although the following is a report concerning the September elections in Slesvig, Ergenførde, and Rendsburg Counties, it can be said to relate, in general, to all of South Slesvig.
- 2. In the communities where the Danish Group had been active for some time and preelection meetings had been held, the results satisfied the Danes, on the whole. However, the Danish Group was handicapped in that it could not carry on counterpropaganda to that waged by the German-minded. Furthermore, the lack of a German language newspaper was keenly felt by the Danish faction. It is apparent that the CDU succeeded in capturing most of the refugee votes. The defeat of the Communists was more decisive than had been expected.
- 3. In many communities where the Danish organization had insufficient strength to place candidates in the election, Danish-minded people were nevertheless elected. In Silberstedt, for example, three members of the South Slesvig Society were chosen as CDU representatives; in Hollingstedt, the only Danish candidate running was elected and of the ten CDU candidates elected, all are members or on the waiting list to become members of the South Slesvig Society. In most municipalities there was not a complete roster of Danish candidates, and therefore the election results cannot be considered a true measure of Danish strength. Such local conditions as the number of refugees voting exerted considerable influence.
- h. Below is given a statistical summary of the election results for municipalities from which it has been possible to obtain detailed results and in which there was official Danish Group representation:

25X1A9a

DADSO EXEC.

FBL

FBX

x Approved For Release 4999/09/08

SPDT

Municipalities (38)	Total N	o. Votes	Danish	Votes : Total	Elected Danish	Cand- Elect ex
Sleavig	77 .1 13		36,795	30	24	The state of the s
Friedrichstadt	77 , 113 7,957		4,137	15	12	
Lindau	772		235		2	
South Brarup	8,824		3,944	18	ō	
Neuberand	487		254	6	í,	
Wohlde	507	•	18	-	តី	
Goltoft	810		228	6	า้	
Kappeln	11,359		2,360	100	ñ	-
Thumby	925		247	9	ž	
Istedt	1,366		211	9	ī	
Ulaby	758		218	-	ō	
Esperator CONFIDENT	in. 1,397	/		1A9a 6	2 5 X1A	8a
CLASSIFI	CATION SECT	ET.	COM	rrol U.S.Offic	IALS ONLY (
A DEP X FBT	SPDF	VTO		X	- property becomes of a supersymmetric property of the supersy	17
EGV T	epne	o nee	1			1-1

: CIA-RE

20002000506

Approved For Release 1999/09/08 CIA-RDR 22-02457R000200050009

Confidence in the Confidence of the Confidence o

(2)

unicipalities	Total Number Lotes	Danish Votes	Totallected	Damish Group Can- didates Llected
Dalinolm	1,127	339	œi e	0
Scimarup	730	ìմկ	6	2
Secta	2,136	1,515	6	5
Loit	206	103	6	1
aubenkirchen	32)	166	9	O
Jr5dersby	<i>)</i> L ₁ 2	470	6	4
Brebel	325	362	9	4
arnis	2,192	1,213	6	6
Drage	رِّزُ 0 وً1	129	9	2 1
BBel	1,410	522	9	1
Bollingstedt	909	79	9	1
Langstedt	1,010	138	war.	О
Steinfolat	2,752	1,024	9	3
Treia	3,255	523	*400	O
Strukstrup (sic, may be				
Strundorf)	2,700	0 01	9	3
Hollingstedt	3,944	310	1.2	ĺ
Stolk	2,303	1.75	9	3 /
South Stapel	3,206	637	· wa	O
Tolk	2,329	400	9	2
Satrup	3,831	473	-	O
Jiloeck	2,965	919	12	6 (?)
38klund	1,971	520	12	3
Ekenis	564	266	9	4
Lohrkirchosterholz	3,101	432	613	0
Taarstedt	3,688	75	gap .	0
Lürschau	1,020	507	6	2

- 4. According to the above statistics, about 37% of all votes east in these municipalities were for Danish sponsored candidates. Presumably, this ratio would hold for the county as a whole.
- 5. Official statistics show that the population of Blesvin County has increased 29.1 during the period 1933 to 1946, although the native population has decreased somewhat because of war casualties and Fourt who have not yet returned home. It can therefore be concluded that over 50. of the above votes were given by people other than native Blesvians, such as refugees, evacuees from Riel or Manburg, soldiers released from other zones, and Prussians who moved from Germany during the Nazi regime. Based on the above assumption, it has been calculated that over 75% of the native population in the above municipalities cast their votes in favor of Danish sponsored cancidates.
- 6. For Rendsbor; County as a whole the election results were very satisfactory from the Danish standpoint. In north Rendsbor; County, about 20% of all votes east, or about 40% of those of the native population, were for the Danish Group candidates. In Sudelsdorf, a large industrial suburb of Rendsburg, about 33% of all votes were for the Danish candidates.
- 7. In Ejernførde County election results in the towns were more pleasing to the banks group than those in the country districts, where none of the Funish sponsored candidates were elected. This can in part be attributed to the fact that the Banksh activity was only recently began in the country, whereas there was much propaganda from the opposition. Furthermore, there are many refugees in this district, the population of the county having increased 130, since 1933. Results of the election in the Town of agentførde, on the other hand, were most satisfactory to the Banes. The town was divided into three election districts. The CDU carried two of these and the Banish Group the third. Thus, the CDU gained twelve and the Banish Group all six of the representatives in the district in which they were successful. The votes were distributed as follows:

Party	araper of Votes
CDJ	18 , 203
KPD	2 , 064

Approved For Release 1999/09/08 : CIA-RDP82-004-018-0018-0019-9